

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****Patent and Trademark Office**Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

10/6 52495

09/02/03

Masayuki

116882

EXAMINER

Nitin Parekh

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2811

DATE MAILED:**INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Linda Saltiel (3) _____
(2) Nitin Parekh (4) _____

Date of Interview 05/19/05Type: Telephonic Televideo Conference Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No If yes, brief description: _____Agreement was reached. was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: Claims 1-8Identification of prior art discussed: Matsuura et al.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Attorney discussed pending rejections with respect to the adhesive taught by Matsuura et al.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has not been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

Nitin Parekh 05/19/05